INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

TH 2443-PCT see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/US2004/002888

To:

International filing date (day/month/year)

03.02.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

05.02.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07C15/107, C07C2/10, C07C5/27

Applicant

SHELL OIL COMPANY

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

☑ Box No. 1

Basis of the opinion

Box No. II

Priority

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III

☐ Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

☑ Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Butkowskyj-Walkiw, T

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +49.89.2399-8594



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/002888

_		
_	Вох	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the	n regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rmat of material:
		in written format
	С	in computer readable form
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing:
	—Е	contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	i	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4	Δddit	ional comments:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/002888

_										
Box No. II Priority										
The following document has not been furnished:										
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).									
		has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).								
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.									
3.	. Additional observations, if necessary:									
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
1.	Statement									
	Novelty (N)		Yes:	Claims	1-40					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		No:	Claims	***					
	Inventive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims						
		-p (· e)	No:	Claims	1-40					
	Industrial or	oplicability (IA)	Vaar	Olaima	4.40					
	muusmai ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-40					
			140.	Cidinis						
2	Citations on	d explanations								
۷.	Citations an	u expianations								

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 6 515 169 B1 (GALPERIN LEONID B ET AL) 4 February 2003 (2003-02-04)

D2: US 6 448 458 B1 (GALPERIN LEONID B ET AL) 10 September 2002 (2002-09-10)

D3: US 6 392 109 B1 (O'REAR DENNIS J ET AL) 21 May 2002 (2002-05-21)

In the light of the documents cited in the search report the present claims 1-40 have to be considered as being novel (Art. 33(2) PCT).

However, in the light of D1 (col. 6, line 65 - col. 32, line 45; claims 1-34), D2 (col. 6, line 64 - col. 27, line 21; claims 1-32) and D3 (col. 2, line 50- col 19, line 60; claims 1-28) the present claims 1-40 cannot be considered as being inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT) as the object of the present application, namely to provide a new method for preparing branched alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons and the claimed solution has already been suggested by the cited documents.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY